



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NC.	
10/642,494	08/18/2003	Koji Motoyama	0033-0897P	1856	
2292	7590 02/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			KHAN, SUHAIL		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2686		
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/642,494	мотоуама, којі			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Suhail Khan	2686			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 08 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b)</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more of the final rejection.	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Coust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	nowever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN  ITHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to I within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	ne appeal. Since		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and		
<ul> <li>9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by					
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).					
13.  Other:	( LA	<i>A</i>	./ 86		
		S APPIAH EXAMINER	1		



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that cited prior art does not teach a plurality of local oscillators each including a dielectric resonator being accommodated in a metal shielding box and an electromagnetic coupling preventing member as claimed. As shown in previous office actions, Examiner would like to point out col 2, lines 13-15 in Kennan which shows two FET oscillators and col 2, lines 15-20 which show the dielectric resonator. The Hwang et al reference was used to show the limitations not met by the Kennan reference. In col 7, lines 43-52 and figures 7A/7B Hwang et al show two dielectric resonators, a metal case and a screw arranged between the dielectric resonators, interpreted as being the electromagnetic coupling preventing member.